(d) and (e) Notices to some companies were issued between 1984 & 1987. for recovery of amount under Para 7 of DPCO, 1979. M/s. Pilford India Ltd. has paid Rs. 50 lakhs as per the direction of the court. No other company has paid any amount till date.

Monitoring the prices of price decontrolled medicines

3276. SHRI M. KADHARSHA:
DR. (SHRIMATI) SAROJINI MAHISHI:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that his Ministry is monitoring the prices of price decontrolled medicines;

(b) if so, what was the price of G avol Tabs Walavin Tabs, Carisoma Tabs, Ifibrium Tabs, Masse-Cream and Deffen cream;

(c) whether his Ministry intervened and got the prices of any of these medicines reduced;

(d) if so, what are the names of those medicines;

(e) if not, what is the system which the Department is following for selection of companies and medicines and what are the details of that system;

(f) what are the names of bulk drugs based on which each of these medicines are manufactured;

(g) whether these drugs are produced in the country; if so, the names of producers of each along with the production of each drug by each producer during the last three years, yearwise; and

(h) what are the names of drugs exempted from price control along with the basis in each case?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) to (e) As per the provisions of DPCO, 1987 manufacturers of non-scheduled bulk drugs/formulations are free to revise the prices. However, Government is keeping a watch and has intervened, wherever found necessary.

(f) The required details to the extent available are given at Annexure-I.

(g) Production of these drugs is not monitored.

(h) Drugs referred to in the question are exempted from price control as per the recommendations of Kelkar Committee except Griesofulvin.

COB licence to Cadila Labs

3277. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) when the Cadila Labs was granted COB licence and what were the facts of their case and what were the conditions of COB licence;

(b) when the capacities granted under the COB were revised; and

(c) whether the same treatment has been extended to the units placed in similar circumstances and if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) and (b) M/s Cadila Labs., Ahmedabad were granted a COB licence No. IL: 134(76) dt. 6.10.76 for the manufacture of certain drug formulations. The need for COB industrial licence under the IDR Act had arisen as the value of their plant and machinery had increased beyond Rs. 10 lakhs. The annual capacities for various types of drug formulations were fixed category-wise on the basis of maximum production achieved by the company for each item during the 3 years ending 1975. However, the party had represented against the annual capacities fixed in the COB licence. The representation submitted by the company was considered by the Govt. and accordingly an amendment letter was issued to the party on 28th November, 1977 subject to the following conditions:—

(i) The annual capacity against each category of formulations has been fixed provisionally. The final annual